# PATENT COOPERATION TREA. /

## From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

GLAXOSMITHKLINE

Attn. Levy, David J.


NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 07/05/2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference	
PU5028WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No.	International filing date
PCT/US 03/35808	(day/month/year) 12/11/2003
Applicant	
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION	
1. x The applicant is hereby notified that the International Searce	h Renort has been established and is transmitted herewith.
The applicant is hereby notified that the International Search     Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:     The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the clair.	
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normal international Search Report; however, for more detailed.	ally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the etails, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.3:	5
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the according	ompanying sheet.
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.	h Report will be established and that the declaration under
With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additions.	onal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
the protest together with the decision thereon has been	en transmitted to the International Bureau together with the otest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the ap	plicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following:	
Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international a If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notic priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided completion of the technical preparations for international public	e of withdrawal of the international application, or of the I in Rules 90 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 90 <i>bis.</i> 3, respectively, before the
Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for internation wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 m	nal preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant onths from the priority date (in some Offices even later).
Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perforbefore all designated Offices which have not been elected in the priority date or could not be elected because they are not boun	he demand or in a later election within 19 months from the

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, \_ Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Federico Bonomel



GLODAL N.T. . . . . . . . . . .

Form PCT/ISA/220 (July 1998)

# PATENT COOPERATION TREAT /

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	(Form PCT/ISA/2)	f Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
PU5028WO	ACTION	
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT US 03 35808	12/11/2003	22/11/2002
Applicant		
SMITHELINE FEECHAM CORPORA	ATION	
This international search Report has been according to Artille 19. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Auth	ority and is transmitted to the applicant
according to All and the Accopy is being to	arismitted to the international bureau.	
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sheets.	
⊞ n alse accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.
Basis of the report		
a. With reguld to the language, the	international search was carried out on the bas ess otherwise indicated under this Item.	is of the international application in the
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of the	ne international application furnished to this
b. With regard to any nucleotide an	d/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the in	ternational application, the international search
was carried out on the basis of the contained in the internation	e sequence insurig : anal application in written form.	
	rnational application in computer readable form	1.
furnished subsequently to	this Authority in written form.	
furnished subsequently to	this Authority in computer readble form.	
the statement that the sub-	sequently furnished written sequence listing do s filed has been furnished.	pes not go beyond the disclosure in the
the statement that the info furnished	ormation recorded in computer readable form is	identical to the written sequence listing has been
2. X Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).	
With regard to the title,		·
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	• •
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
5. With regard to the abstract,		
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establis	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorite date of mailing of this international search rep	y as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, ort, submit comments to this Authority.
6. The figure of the drawings to be published.	ished with the abstract is Figure No.	·
as suggested by the appli	cant.	None of the figures.
because the applicant fail	ed to suggest a figure.	
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL	CEADCH	REPORT
INTERNATIONAL	SEARCH	NEPUNI

International application No.
PCT/US 03/35808

Box III	TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet (2)) (July 1998)

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

nternational Application No PCT/US 03/35808

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 C07D261/08 C07D413/12 A61P3/06 A61K31/42 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) CO7D A61K A61P Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, MEDLINE, EMBASE, BIOSIS, CHEM ABS Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 1 - 40WO 00/37077 A (GLAXO GROUP LTD ; BLANCHARD χ STEVEN GERARD (US); PARKS DEREK J (US);) 29 June 2000 (2000-06-29) cited in the application claims 9,10; example 5 1 - 40WO 03/015771 A (GIEGRICH KRISTINA ; BAUER P,X ULRIKE (DE); DEUSCHLE ULRICH (DE); KOGL M) 27 February 2003 (2003-02-27) claims 1,4,14,15; table 1 Patent family members are listed in annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'X' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone earlier document but published on or after the international "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed \*&\* document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 07/05/2004 23 April 2004 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, vanVoorsttotVoorst,M Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

International application No. PCT/US 03/35808

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

This international Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:  1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  Although claims 15-21 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.  2. Claims Nos.: because they retain to parts of the international Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an entert that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically:  3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Fulle 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)  This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were simely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional search fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims, it is covered by claims Nos.:  Pemark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
Although claims 15-21 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.  2.	This Inte	ernational Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
human/ainial body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.  2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically:  3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)  This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	1. χ	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
because they relate to parts of the International Search can be carried out, specifically:  an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2.	because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	з. 🗌	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This Inte	ernational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1.	searchable daims
covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
A. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		No analysis to the state of access to access to access to access to access the configuration of the state of
	4	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	Remark	t on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
		No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

# INTRNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

tnternational Application No
PCT/US 03/35808

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0037077	A	29-06-2000	AU	1229000 A	15-05-2000
			ΑU	2389100 A	12-07-2000
			CA	2356887 A1	29-06-2000
			ΕP	1140079 A1	10-10-2001
			ΕP	1137940 A1	04-10-2001
			JP	2002528721 T	03-09-2002
			JP	2002532729 T	02-10-2002
			WO	0025134 A1	04-05-2000
			WO	0037077 A1	29-06-2000
			US	2004048316 A1	11-03-2004
			US	6639078 B1	28-10-2003
WO 03015771		27-02-2003	EP	1285914 A1	26-02-2003
NO 03013771	••	2, 02 2000	WO	03016280 A1	27-02-2003
			WO	03016288 A1	27-02-2003
		WO	03015771 A1	27-02-2003	
		WO	03015777 A1	27-02-2003	
		US	2003187042 A1	02-10-2003	
			US	2003149087 A1	07-08-2003
			US	2003130296 A1	10-07-2003

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (January 2004)

## NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

# The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
   "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
   "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
   "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

#### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

# Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Breliminary Examining Authority (see Bule 62.2(a), first sentence).

### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

## **NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220**

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

# INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

## How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.